

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR.

| | PAGE | | PAGE |
|--|------|--|------|
| <i>Diamagnetism of Mercury.</i> BY S. S. BHATNAGAR AND M. B. NEVGI .. | 53 | <i>Synthesis of Thujane.</i> BY P. C. GUHA AND S. KRISHNAMURTHY | 56 |
| <i>The Diamagnetic Susceptibility of Some Complex Ions.</i> BY S. RAMACHANDRA RAO AND S. SRIRAMAN | 54 | <i>Occurrence of Rotenone in Millettia pachy- carpa.</i> BY T. P. GHOSE AND S. KRISHNA .. | 57 |
| <i>Dispersion of Sound Velocity in Liquids.</i> BY S. PARTHASARATHY | 55 | <i>A Fruit Rot of Apples Caused by a Species of Rhizopus.</i> BY P. R. MEHTA | 58 |
| <i>The Condensation of Resacetophenone with Open-chain and Cyclic β-ketonic Esters.</i> BY R. D. DESAI AND SHEIK ABDUL HAMID .. | 56 | <i>An Amphibious Parasite from Lac.</i> BY S. MAHDIHASSAN | 59 |
| | | <i>Sulphur Iodide.</i> BY M. R. ASWATHIA NARAYANA RAO | 63 |
| | | <i>Astronomical Society for India.</i> BY C. K. ANANTHASUBRAHMANYAM .. | 63 |

Diamagnetism of Mercury.

MERCURY occupies a unique position among the metals. It forms several amalgams with various elements. Some magnetic work on the copper amalgams has been referred to from this laboratory (Physical Principles of Magneto-Chemistry by Bhatnagar and K. N. Mathur). From the results it would appear that the mixture law is valid as long as the amalgam is very dilute and remains liquid. On solidification due to the higher concentration of copper its diamagnetism decreases and the mixture law is no more applicable. This has recently been confirmed by Bates and Tai.¹ However, it was not then found desirable to give particular attention to the specific diamagnetic value of mercury as the results concerned were only of a comparative nature. The specific diamagnetic values of mercury obtained by various authors are not consistent. In 1910, Honda and Owen² gave a value 0.19 for $-\chi \cdot 10^6$ which was further corrected to 0.184 by Owen³ in 1912 from Kahlbaum's sample of 0.0002% impurity of iron. From the examination of some mercury amalgams, Davis and Keeping⁴ got the specific diamagnetic value 0.189 for mercury. In an investigation of dia- and para-magnetism

in series of metallic mixed crystals, E. Vogt⁵ has found the diamagnetic susceptibility of mercury to be -0.168×10^{-6} . He has, however; made no mention of the purity of mercury employed by him. In a recent publication Bates and Tai⁶ have reported that mercury has a diamagnetic susceptibility -0.1676×10^{-6} and this value is in substantial agreement with that of Vogt.

J. S. Shur⁷ has recently given the specific diamagnetic value 0.389 for mercury in the vapour state. The value 0.389 obtained by him approximately corresponds to 0.419 calculated theoretically by Slater's method, while all the values in the liquid state are decidedly low. It has been deduced from an examination of the various physical properties of mercury that it is monoatomic in the vapour state and polyatomic in the liquid state. The fact that the diamagnetic susceptibility in the vapour state is quite different from that in the liquid state clearly establishes the above statement from the magnetic point of view. Further, there is so great a discord between the different diamagnetic specific values of mercury that it was found desirable to investigate mercury that can be obtained in the utmost purified condition. The inconsistency in the various values

may be attributed to the different impurities such as iron and zinc which can only be removed with great difficulty. Special care must therefore be taken to purify mercury. It is always better to get it from one of its chemical compounds which can be obtained in a higher state of purity. In the present investigation mercury was obtained from Kahlbaum's extra pure compounds of mercury. All the necessary precautions were taken to get it in as pure a condition as possible.

*Specific and Atomic Susceptibilities of Mercury.
Experimental Values.*

| Authors | Specific susceptibility $-X \cdot 10^6$ | Atomic susceptibility $-X_{at} \cdot 10^5$ |
|--|--|---|
| Honda and Owen .. | 0.19 | 38.1 |
| Owen | 0.184 | 36.9 |
| Davis and Keeping .. | 0.189 | 37.9 |
| E. Vogt | 0.168 | 33.7 |
| Bates and Tai .. | 0.1676 | 33.6 |
| <i>Present Authors' Observation.</i> | | |
| 1. Purified and distilled .. | 0.157 | 31.5 |
| 2. Obtained from extra pure oxide of mercury .. | 0.1716 | 34.4 |
| 3. Obtained from extra pure mercuric nitrate .. | 0.1729 | 34.7 |
| 4. Obtained from extra pure mercuric benzoate .. | 0.172 | 34.5 |
| J. S. Shur .. | 0.389 | 78.0 vapour |
| <i>Theoretical Value.</i> | | |
| Calculated according to Slater's method.. | 0.42 | 84.6 |

The specific and atomic susceptibilities of purified and distilled mercury are rather low when compared with other experimental values. The values obtained from Kahlbaum's extra pure compounds are, however, almost the same as obtained by E. Vogt, Bates and Tai. The higher specific and atomic susceptibilities obtained by Shur

in the vapour state and their close resemblance with the theoretically calculated values by Slater's method, show that mercury is monoatomic in the vapour state. The lower diamagnetic values of mercury in the liquid state may be attributed to the polyatomic molecule of mercury. This can further be explained on the assumption that the free electrons in the outer orbit of mercury may be interchanging between the component atoms to form an aggregate of complex or polyatomic mercury. It is rather curious to note that the atomic diamagnetic values in the liquid state closely correspond to the susceptibility constants of mercury in the divalent and extremely covalent state. Considering the interesting work so far accomplished and the fact that many important conclusions are derived from it, it appears desirable to investigate mercury in a spectroscopically pure state also. Further work will be reported on this subject shortly.

S. S. BHATNAGAR.
M. B. NEVGI.

University Chemical
Laboratories,
Lahore,
August 5, 1937.

- ¹ Bates and Tai, *Proc. Phys. Soc.*, 1936, **48**, 795.
- ² Honda and Owen, *Ann. Phys. Lpz.*, 1910, **32**, 1027.
- ³ Owen, *ibid.*, 1912, **37**, 657.
- ⁴ Davis and Keeping, *Phil. Mag.*, 1929, **7**, 145.
- ⁵ E. Vogt, *Ann. Phys. Lpz.*, 1932, **1**, 14.
- ⁶ Bates and Tai, *loc. cit.* under 1.
- ⁷ J. S. Shur, *Nature*, May 8, 1937.

The Diamagnetic Susceptibility of Some Complex Ions.

SEVERAL investigators have determined the diamagnetic susceptibilities of simple and complex ions.¹ The experimental values have been compared favourably with the theoretical estimates made by Pauling, Stoner, Slater and Angus.¹ Most of the magnetic measurements have been made with the substances in the solid state. The influence of the deforming power of ions on each other when they combine to form compounds does not seem to have been taken into account in the estimation of ionic susceptibilities. This aspect has been considered at some length by Varadachari² and Subramaniam¹ from this laboratory. Our method of studying ionic deformation which